

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,228	06/25/2001	Jeffrey H. Alger	03797.00013	3296
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Pamela I. Banı	ner	CHEA, PHILIP J		
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. 1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20001-4597			2153	
			DATE MAII ED: 04/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/892,228	ALGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip J. Chea	2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	ebruary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	· ·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2005 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050415			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Action is in response to an Amendment filed February 9, 2005. Claims 1-4,6-14 are currently pending. Any rejection not set for below has been overcome by the current Amendment.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RealPlayer 5.0 (RealNetworks, Inc. RealPlayer 5.0 Manual).

As per claim 1, RealPlayer discloses an application that uses content to perform a function, as claimed comprising:

a client portal for retrieving content from a network, such that content retrieved by the client portal is seamlessly integrated into the application for use (page 11, paragraph 1, lines 1 and 2), and

the client portal is capable of retrieving content from only preselected sites in the network (page 11, figure 2, Destination Buttons).

As per claim 2, RealPlayer discloses the application providing an interface for manipulating content retrieved form the network (page 11, figure 2 (play, stop, and forward buttons); and

the client portal retrieves content from the network directly into the interface (page 11, figure 2, Image Area).

As per claim 4, RealPlayer discloses a media player for playing electronic media (page 11, paragraph 1, lines 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,228

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 1, 2, and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bezos et al. (U.S. 6,029,141).

As per claim 1, Bezos et al. disclose an application that uses content to perform a function, as claimed comprising:

a client portal for retrieving content from a network, such that content retrieved by the client portal is seamlessly integrated into the application for use (columns 6 and 7, lines 59-67), and

the client portal is capable of retrieving content from only preselected sites in the network (column 6 and 7, lines 59-67 and 1-5).

As per claim 2, Bezos et al. disclose the application providing an interface for manipulating content retrieved form the network (column 7, lines 6-20); and

the client portal retrieves content from the network directly into the interface (column 7, lines 6-20).

As per claim 6, Bezos et al. disclose a browser capable of retrieving content from only preselected sites that are related to providing a particular product (column 6 and 7, lines 59-67 and 1-5).

As per claim 7, Bezos et al. disclose including a memory for storing the preselected sites (column 6, lines 59-67).

As per claim 8, Bezos et al. disclose at least one of the preselected sites list other preselected sites (see column 15, lines 28-36, and Fig. 9 = preselected sites and Fig. 10a = other preselected sites).

As per claim 9, Bezos et al. disclose at least one of the preselected sites lists sites that are available for preselection (column 7, lines 6-20, where preselected sites available are considered links to the merchants websites).

As per claim 10, Bezos et al. disclose a portal wherein the browser provides a header identifying characteristics of the browser (column 8, lines 17-22). A cookie is considered the header that identifies the characteristics of the browser.

As per claim 11 and 13, Bezos et al. disclose a catalog of items for purchase, which are also from multiple content sources (column 7, lines 6-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,228

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 12, Bezos et al. disclose the portal including a memory, and the catalog downloaded into the memory (column 6, lines 59-67).

As per claim 14, Bezos et al. disclose identifying a user of the client portal (column 9, lines 9-20, where user = Italian chef, and selection of items = favorite cookbooks). Also see 103 rejection below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. and further in view of Shafer et al. ("Recommender Systems in E-Commerce" 1999).

Bezos et al. shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above). In further support identifying a user of the client portal and having a catalog that contains a selection of items for purchase based upon a previous purchase history of the user are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Bezos et al., as evidenced by Shafer et al.

In an analogous art, Shafer et al. disclose an e-commerce system where there is a means of presenting catalog information based upon a previous purchase history of the user (page 158, column 2, second paragraph)..

Given the teaching of Schafer et al., a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Bezos et al. by employing a product recommendation based on a users previous purchase history, such as disclosed by Shafer et al., in order to help an e-commerce site adapt itself to each customer enabling individual personalization (Schafer et al., column 2, second paragraph).

Art Unit: 2153

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezos et al., in view of admitted prior art (Admission).

As per claim 3, although the system disclosed by Bezos et al. shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), it fails to disclose a rendering application for eBooks.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Bezos et al., as evidenced by admitted prior art.

In an analogous art, applicant discloses that a rendering application for eBooks is well known in the art (page 2, paragraph 5, lines 6-9).

Given the teaching of the admitted prior art, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Bezos et al. by allowing the application to render eBooks, such as disclosed by the applicant, in order to purchase eBooks from a site such as Amazon to save paper and shipping costs.

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) Applicant contends that RealPlayer and the Bezos et al. patent do not teach a client portal limited to accessing only specific sites.

In considering (A), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The RealPlayer manual shows a portal with destination buttons (Figure 2), for instance sports or news. These destination buttons are only going to retrieve information preselected. In other words, by clicking on the news button, content that has already been chosen will be presented to the user. The Bezos et al. patent also shows the features of the claimed invention. The claim does not disclose a browser only capable of retrieving content from preselected sites that are related to providing a particular product. Thus, the browser claimed can potentially access any site, but when browsing a product web page, like that disclosed by Bezos et al., only those preselected sites presented from the web page are available for selection.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,228

Art Unit: 2153

1.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sugiarto; Basuki Afandi et al. (US 6278449 B1) also show a web portal where the layout can be

customized and preselected sites can be added to the layout, such as disclosed in the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Philip J. Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip J Chea Examiner Art Unit 2153

PJC 4/15/05

Dung G. Tith Priman: Classicor Page 6